

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 CHARLES DELANO READ,
8 Plaintiff,
9 v.
10 E. VALENZUELA,
11 Defendant.

Case No. [15-cv-01346-EMC](#)

ORDER RE TRAVERSE

12
13 This action was reassigned to the undersigned when one or more of the parties declined to
14 consent to proceed before a magistrate judge. The order of reassignment stated that “[a]ll dates
15 presently scheduled are vacated,” but also that “[b]riefing schedules. . . remain unchanged.”
16 Docket No. 8. Thereafter Respondent filed a response to the order to show cause on May 26,
17 2015, but the Petitioner did not file a traverse. Due to the slight possibility that Petitioner may not
18 have realized that the order of reassignment did not vacate the traverse deadline, the Court now
19 *sua sponte* extends the traverse deadline. Petitioner must file his traverse and serve a copy of it on
20 Respondent’s counsel no later than **December 18, 2015**.

21
22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23
24 Dated: November 17, 2015

25
26
27
28 
EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge